Received 12 July 2006

Accepted 12 July 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# François Loiseau,<sup>a</sup> Reinhard Neier<sup>a</sup> and Helen Stoeckli-Evans<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Institut de Chimie, Université de Neuchâtel, Rue Emile Argand 11, CH-2009 Neuchâtel, Switzerland, and <sup>b</sup>Institut de Microtechnique, Université de Neuchâtel, Rue Emile Argand 11, CH-2009 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Correspondence e-mail: francois.loiseau@unine.ch

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.060 wR factor = 0.186 Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# rac-(R)-2-[(2R,5R)-5-Methyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl]propanoic acid

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_8H_{14}O_3$ , the 2,5-tetrahydrofuran ring junction is *cis*. The relative configuration of position 2 in the propanoic acid group was found to be the same as that in positions 2 and 5 in the tetrahydrofuran ring. In the crystal structure, symmetry-related molecules are linked by  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds to form centrosymmetric dimers.

## Comment

Disubstituted cis-2,5-tetrahydrofuran (2,5-thf) ring junctions are important because they form a part of natural antibiotics. Typical examples are the members of the nactin family, which show antibiotic properties against a wide range of grampositive bacteria, mycobacteria and fungi (Corbaz et al., 1955; Meyers et al., 1965; Bennett et al., 1962), and insecticidal properties (Oishi et al., 1970). The ionophore nonactin, the lowest homologue of this family, is used in analytical chemistry as an ammonia sensor (Bühlmann et al., 1998), while tetranactin has shown immuno-suppressive properties equal to cyclosporine (Tanouchi & Shichi, 1988). During our investigations of complexity in bioactive molecules, we were interested in the synthesis of models of nonactic acid, such as the title compound, (Rac-II). Nonactic acid is the main biosynthetic precursor of the nactin macrotetrolides. To determine the configuration of the centre in the position alpha to the carbonyl of the diastereoisomerically pure ethylester (Rac-I), which is an oil, the title compound, (Rac-II), was prepared by saponification of (Rac-I).



The molecular structure of (Rac-II) is illustrated in Fig. 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 1. The bond distances and angles are similar to those in an analogous compound,  $\{1-[5-(2-azidopentyl)]$  tetrahydro2-furyl]-ethylcarboxylic acid (Bernsmann *et al.*, 2002). It can be seen in Fig. 1 that the 2,5-ring junctions (C1 and C4) in the thf unit of (Rac-II) are *cis*, while the relative configuration of the H atom at C6 is *anti* with respect to the H atom at C1. The thf ring has a half-chair conformation twisted on bond O1-C1 [the Cremer & Pople (1975) puckering parameters are  $q_2 =$ 

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (Rac-II), showing the crystallographic atomnumbering scheme and with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of (Rac-II), viewed down the *a* axis.  $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry code: (i) 2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.]

0.370 (2) Å and  $\varphi(2) = 15.8 (4)^{\circ}$ ]. This is different from the situation in the analogous compound mentioned above, in which the thf ring has an envelope conformation.

In the crystal structure of (Rac-II), symmetry-related molecules are linked by O-H···O hydrogen bonds to form centrosymmetric dimers, typical for carboxylic acids (see Table 2 and Fig. 2 for details).

## **Experimental**

Compound (Rac-II) was prepared by the saponification of (Rac-I) following the method described by Kirby & Amyes (1988). The synthesis of the ethyl ester, viz. (Rac-I), will be described elsewhere (Loiseau, 2006). In a two-necked 250 ml flask fitted with a reflux condenser, (Rac-I) (0.6 g, 3.2 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (35 ml) was stirred magnetically. KOH (595 mg, 10.6 mmol) dissolved in water (35 ml) was then added slowly at room temperature. The mixture was heated to reflux for 4.5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the tetrahydrofuran was removed by evaporation in vacuo and then 32%

HCl (1.44 ml, 12.8 mmol) was added dropwise. The product was extracted with  $4 \times 30$  ml of diethyl ether and the organic layers were washed with 40 ml of brine. The organic layers were then combined and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the diethyl ether was removed by evaporation in vacuo. The colourless oil obtained was dried in vacuo (0.06 mm Hg) to afford the desired acid, (Rac-II), and stored at 277 K (yield 0.51 g, 3.2 mmol, 100%). After several days, colourless rod-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained.

#### Crystal data

$C_8H_{14}O_3$	Z = 4
$M_r = 158.19$	$D_x = 1.226 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 8.7400 (17)  Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 11.870 (3) Å	T = 173 (2) K
c = 9.2749 (16)  Å	Rod, colourless
$\beta = 117.022 \ (13)^{\circ}$	$0.50 \times 0.23 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$
V = 857.2 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	

#### Data collection

Stoe IPDS-2 diffractometer  $\omega$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: none 10718 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1002P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.186$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.03	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2312 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
103 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

2312 independent reflections 1392 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.117$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 29.3^{\circ}$ 

#### Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C1	1.430 (2)	C2-C3	1.520 (3)
O1-C4	1.438 (2)	C3-C4	1.528 (3)
O2-C8	1.253 (2)	C4-C5	1.492 (3)
O3-C8	1.277 (2)	C6-C8	1.508 (3)
C1-C6	1.514 (3)	C6-C7	1.536 (3)
C1-C2	1.512 (3)		
C1-O1-C4	106.04 (15)	C5-C4-C3	115.95 (19)
O1-C1-C6	108.16 (16)	C8-C6-C1	109.90 (15)
O1-C1-C2	104.31 (15)	C8-C6-C7	109.65 (16)
C6-C1-C2	115.54 (16)	C1-C6-C7	111.29 (17)
C1-C2-C3	103.44 (16)	O2-C8-O3	123.42 (18)
C2-C3-C4	105.39 (17)	O2-C8-C6	119.73 (17)
O1-C4-C5	108.59 (18)	O3-C8-C6	116.84 (18)
O1-C4-C3	104.75 (15)		

Table 2					
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	7 (	(Å,	°)	•

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O3-H3\cdots O2^i$	0.84	1.80	2.630 (2)	170
Commentary and as (i)		- 1.1		

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

All H atoms could be located in difference Fourier maps. However, as the crystal was not of the best quality ( $R_{int} = 0.117$ ), they were included in calculated positons and treated as riding atoms: O-H =0.84 Å with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ , and C-H = 0.98-1.00 Å with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5 \text{ or } 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C}).$ 

Data collection: X-AREA (Stoe, 2005); cell refinement: X-AREA; data reduction: X-RED32 (Stoe, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

# References

Bennett, R. E., Brindle, C. A., Giuffre, N. A., Jackson, P. W., Kowald, J., Pansy, F. E., Perlman, D. & Trejo, W. H. (1962). *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 1961, 169–172.

- Bernsmann, H., Wang, Y., Fröhlich, R. & Metz, P. (2002). Tetrahedron, 58, 4451–4457.
- Bühlmann, P., Pretsch, E. & Bakker, E. (1998). Chem. Rev. 98, 1593-1687.
- Corbaz, R., Ettinger, L., Gaumann, E., Keller-Schlierlein, W., Kradolfer, F., Kyburz, E., Neipp, L., Prelog, V. & Zahner, H. (1955). *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 38, 1445–1448.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Kirby, A. J. & Amyes, T. L. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 6505-6514.
- Loiseau, F. (2006). PhD thesis, Université de Neuchâtel, Switzerland.
- Meyers, E., Pansy, F. E., Perlmann, D., Smith, D. A. & Weisenborn, F. L. (1965). J. Abtibiot. 18, 128–129.
- Oishi, H., Sugawa, T., Okutomi, T., Suzuki, K. & Hayashi, T. (1970). J. Antibiot. 23, 105–106.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Stoe (2005). X-AREA (Version 1.26) and X-RED32 (Version 1.26). Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tanouchi, Y. & Shichi, H. (1988). Immunology, 63, 471-475.